

Secondary/Administrative Data Use: Evaluation Plan/Reporting Checklist

This is a general checklist of information that grantees/practitioners, evaluators and funders can use in preparing, reviewing and monitoring evaluation plans and reports for evaluation studies that involve the use of secondary or administrative data. The information included in this checklist is expected to be included in good and high quality evaluation plans and final reports that employ these sources of data. We hope you find the checklist helpful. Feel free to share or adapt this AmeriCorps resource to fit your needs with appropriate citation.

Data Use Steps	Information to Collect and Communicate – Administrative and Secondary Data	Included in Report?
Source of Data	Reasons for using secondary/administrative data	
	What data is used (e.g., NLSY, city/county data, school administrative data) and source?	
	Steps to receiving and storing the data	
Direct Data Collection (i.e., not secondary/ administrative data)	Study design of data collection by researcher/ evaluator: Full details of study sample, measurement content, and procedures	
Merging Direct and Secondary/ Administrative Data	Data cleaning procedures	
	Data variable adjustment and calculation procedures	
	Data merging procedures	
	Any adjustments to weights and/or structure necessary to make them consistent across the two data sources	
	Final dataset description (include variables and generalizability)	

Secondary/Administrative Data Use: Evaluation Plan/Reporting Checklist

Data Use Steps	Information to Collect and Communicate – Administrative and Secondary Data	Included in Report?
Logistical Issues when Employing Secondary/ Administrative Data	Evaluator experience, expertise, and relationships with data sources	
	Details of agreements for data access, storage, use, reporting	
	Details of Memorandum of Understanding (MOU), and any strategies/relationships that will facilitate data delivery and/or use	
For QED Comparison Group Formation: Data Contents	Final dataset variables description	
	Data collection time points	
	Structure of final data (nesting/weights)	
For QED Comparison Group Formation: Matching Procedure	Method of matching	
	Proportion of sample matched	
	Significant differences between treatment and comparison groups post-matching	
	Any characteristics unique to either the treatment or comparison group (i.e., perfect confounds), or other programs	

AmeriCorps Office of Research and Evaluation. (2013, July 1). Secondary/Administrative Data Use: Evaluation Plan/Reporting Checklist. AmeriCorps.

https://americorps.gov/sites/default/files/document/2015 05 13 SIFSecondary-AdministrativeDataUseandReportingChecklist ORE.pdf

