EVALUATION CAPACITY BUILDING – THE FIRST SIX YEARS

	Pre-Award	Years 1-3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6	
Program Design	Develop theory of	Implement program	Continue implementation			
and	change/logic model					
Implementation	(evidence-informed)	Make changes to				
		program				
		design/implementation				
		based on				
		process/outcome data				
		Update logic model as				
		program design				
		changes				
Build/Refine Data	Begin developing data	Refine data collection	Build off existing	g data collection systems for evaluation		
Collection Systems	collection systems and	instruments if needed.				
	instruments	CNCS recognizes that				
		not all programs start				
	Note: Programs	with adequate				
	measuring outcomes	instruments. The				
	with a pre/post-test will	timeline for refining				
	need to administer the	instruments should				
	pre-test at start of Year	ensure that the				
	1	program has				
		instruments in place to				
		collect high quality				
		data as early as				
		possible and no later				
		than start of Year 3.		1	<u> </u>	
Performance	Identify performance	Collect performance	Continue collectin	g and reporting pe	erformance data to	
Measurement	measures – In addition	measurement data and	CNCS			
	to developing aligned	consider: Is the				
	measure that meet	program being				

EVALUATION CAPACITY BUILDING – THE FIRST SIX YEARS

	Pre-Award	Years 1-3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6	
	CNCS requirements,	implemented as	Institutionalize the	Institutionalize the review and assessment of performance		
	the program should	planned? Is the	measures data			
	identify whether other	program seeing the				
	process/implementation	expected outcomes?				
	measures are needed to	Make changes to the				
	monitor	program based on				
	implementation.	implementation and				
	Programs may also	outcome data.				
	measure additional					
	outcomes that are not	Refine performance				
	part of CNCS grant.	measures as needed.				
		CNCS recognizes that				
		some new programs				
		will need time to				
		refine performance				
		measures. Programs				
		should have solid				
		performance measures				
		in place no later than				
		the start of Year 3.				
Staff Capacity	Ensure that program	Continue to build staff	Hire external	Continue managi	ng internal or	
	staff have adequate	capacity and ensure	evaluator if	external evaluation	on process	
	knowledge of	that adequate staff	needed/required			
	performance	time is devoted to				
	measurement and	performance	Ensure that			
	familiarity with CNCS	measurement and	staffing is			
	requirements	evaluation planning	adequate to			
		activities	maintain ongoing			
	Identify		performance			
	staffing/resource needs		measurement and			

EVALUATION CAPACITY BUILDING – THE FIRST SIX YEARS

	Pre-Award	Years 1-3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6
		Search for and	evaluation		
		develop relationships	activities and		
		with potential external	manage		
		evaluators, or train	evaluation		
		staff to conduct			
		internal evaluations			
Evaluation		Conduct evaluability	Finalize	Collect data	Analyze data and
Planning		assessment	evaluation plan		complete evaluation
C			1		report
		Large grantees should			1
		consider conducting			
		some evaluation			
		activity (process			
		and/or outcome			
		evaluation) in first			
		three years although			
		this is not required.			
		For example, the			
		program could			
		develop a long term			
		research agenda or			
		research strategic			
		plan.			
		I ·····			
		Develop evaluation			
		plan in Year 3. Small			
		grantee research			
		questions should be			
		the natural extension			
		of what is being			

Pre-Award	Years 1-3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6
	learned from			
	implementation and			
	outcome data			
	collection in the first			
	three years. All large			
	grantees will need to			
	plan to conduct a QED			
	or RCT or request an			
	Alternative Evaluation			
	Approach.			

For new subgrantees and formula subgrantees, focus on progressing according to this timeline. (Timeline may be stretched for formula grantees and adjusted according to commission evaluation requirements.) For competitive subgrantees who are behind, the focus should be on covering lost ground as efficiently as possible. It is extremely difficult to conduct an evaluation if the subgrantee does not have a solid foundation after the first three years.